NV-DDS overestimated unliquidated obligations for Medical costs by approximately \$607,000 in FYs 2008 and 2009.

Medical Cost Unliquidated Obligations					
FY 2008 FY 2009 Total					
Estimated & Reported	\$327,042	\$438,253	\$765,295		
Actual	\$52,049	\$106,727	\$158,776		
Overstated	\$274,993	\$331,526	\$606,519		

According to SSA policy, valid unliquidated obligations should be supported by documents and records describing the nature of the obligations and supporting the amounts recorded. SSA policy further indicates that State agencies should review unliquidated obligations at least once each month and cancel those that are no longer valid. 12

Personnel, Indirect, and All Other Non-Personnel Costs

NV-DETR understated unliquidated obligations for Personnel, Indirect, and All Other Non-Personnel costs at the end of FYs 2008 and 2009. This occurred because NV-DETR did not estimate obligations for the entire FY when it prepared its quarterly SSA-4513s. Instead, NV-DETR only estimated obligations for a few weeks following the end of the reporting quarter. As shown in the table below, NV-DETR underestimated unliquidated obligations for Personnel, Indirect, and All Other Non-Personnel costs by approximately \$1.4 million in FYs 2008 and 2009.

Personnel, Indirect, and All Other Non-Personnel Unliquidated Obligations					
FY 2008 FY 2009 Total					
Estimated & Reported	\$481,885	\$1,488,013	\$1,969,898		
Actual	\$1,289,331	\$2,050,938	\$3,340,269		
Understated	\$807,446	\$562,925	\$1,370,371		

SSA's procedures state that unliquidated obligations represent obligations for which payment has not yet been made. Additionally, it states that unpaid obligations are considered unliquidated whether the goods or services have been received or not.¹³

INVENTORY CONTROLS

NV-DDS did not maintain adequate inventory records. Specifically, NV-DDS did not have a complete inventory list of SSA-purchased computer equipment or computer equipment that it had excessed. In addition, NV-DDS inventory records did not include all information required by SSA. According to SSA instructions, equipment inventory must include the following.

¹² SSA, POMS, DI 39506.203 A. (March 2002).

¹³ SSA, POMS, DI 39506.200 B.4 (March 2002).

- 1. Description
- 2. Source of funds used to purchase (for example, State vs. Federal)
- 3. Unit cost (for inventory purchased with State funds)
- 4. Inventory or serial number
- 5. Date purchased
- 6. Physical location, including building address and room or floor location 14

NV-DDS' inventory listing did not include the source of funds used to purchase, date purchased, or the physical location for any of its computer equipment. Additionally, we found computers, monitors, laptops, servers, and printers that were not included on the inventory listing. Also, NV-DDS' procedures for disposing of computer equipment were inadequate. Specifically, NV-DDS had a storage room of equipment that was not in use and was awaiting disposal. This equipment should have been, but was not, on its inventory listing.

Most of these inventory errors occurred because of miscommunication between NV-DDS and NV-DETR regarding the tracking of computer equipment. At the time of our audit, NV-DDS and NV-DETR were resolving this issue. The State of Nevada had also identified inventory errors in a prior audit.

RISK OF UNAUTHORIZED ACCESS TO SENSITIVE INFORMATION

Our review disclosed that NV-DDS lacked physical security controls over personally identifiable information (PII). Specifically, NV-DDS did not keep sensitive records secured when cleaning services were provided during non-work hours. Although NV-DDS had a clean-desk policy; we found that its employees did not always adhere to the policy. For example, when staff left for the day, PII remained on desks and in unlocked bins overnight. These weaknesses increased the risk of unauthorized access and loss of sensitive information.

According to SSA policy, if offices are not cleaned during work hours, the DDS must take extra care to ensure documents containing PII are secured overnight, ¹⁵ and the DDS should implement a clean-desk policy. ¹⁶ Sensitive records awaiting destruction should be secured in locked bins or by other means to make the data unattainable to unauthorized personnel. ¹⁷

¹⁴ SSA, POMS, DI 39530.020 B.1 (October 2002).

¹⁵ SSA, POMS, DI 39567.040 C. (October 2008).

¹⁶ SSA, POMS, DI 39567.020 A. (October 2008).

¹⁷ SSA, POMS, DI 39567.020 C. (October 2008).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The costs claimed by NV-DDS were generally allowable. However, we found that NV-DDS and/or NV-DETR needed to improve controls over the (1) reporting of Medical costs, (2) reporting and review of unliquidated obligations, (3) inventory records, and (4) protection of sensitive information. Finally, we determined that NV-DETR should not charge SSA indirect costs for the IDP and R&A divisions.

We recommend that SSA:

- 1. Instruct NV-DETR to refund \$152,956 or provide supporting documentation for unsupported medical costs claimed for FY 2009.
- 2. Instruct NV-DETR to refund \$396,022 for unallowable indirect costs from IDP and R&A or provide documentation to support that the amounts charged were in accordance with the relative benefits received.
- 3. Identify and refund any unallowable indirect costs from IDP and R&A for FY 2010 to the present.
- 4. Ensure NV-DDS monitors and properly adjusts unliquidated obligations timely.
- 5. Instruct NV-DDS to track SSA-purchased computer equipment with an inventory system that complies with SSA policies.
- 6. Ensure NV-DDS enforces its clean-desk policy and keeps all sensitive documents secure.

AGENCY COMMENTS

SSA agreed with all our findings and recommendations. SSA also commented that it would resolve each recommendation after we issued our final report.

NV-DETR generally agreed with Recommendations 4 through 6. For Recommendation 1, NV-DETR stated the \$152,956 represents outstanding (unpaid) authorizations and as such, a refund was not justified. For Recommendations 2 and 3, NV-DETR did not believe a refund of unallowable indirect costs was justified and provided an explanation of the IDP and R&A benefits it provided to NV-DDS. However, NV-DETR commented that it was open to any SSA suggestions and assistance in updating its cost allocation plan, should it be deemed necessary.

See Appendices C and D for the full text of SSA's and NV-DETR's comments.

OIG RESPONSE

To resolve Recommendation 1, we believe SSA should obtain appropriate evidence to support NV-DETR's assertion that the \$152,956 difference was attributed to unliquidated obligations. For Recommendations 2 and 3, we believe additional evidence is needed to determine whether the IDP costs were charged in accordance with the relative benefits received. We also believe the services provided by R&A do not benefit NV-DDS.

Patrick P. O'Carroll, Jr.

Boll & Hanol 1-

DISTRIBUTION SCHEDULE

Commissioner of Social Security

Chairman and Ranking Member, Committee on Ways and Means

Chief of Staff, Committee on Ways and Means

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Subcommittee on Social Security

Majority and Minority Staff Director, Subcommittee on Social Security

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on the Budget, House of Representatives

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives

Chairman and Ranking Minority, Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies, Committee on Appropriations, House of Representatives

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, Education and Related Agencies, Committee on Appropriations, U.S. Senate

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Committee on Finance

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Subcommittee on Social Security Pensions and Family Policy

Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Senate Special Committee on Aging Social Security Advisory Board

Overview of the Office of the Inspector General

The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) is comprised of an Office of Audit (OA), Office of Investigations (OI), Office of the Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG), Office of External Relations (OER), and Office of Technology and Resource Management (OTRM). To ensure compliance with policies and procedures, internal controls, and professional standards, the OIG also has a comprehensive Professional Responsibility and Quality Assurance program.

Office of Audit

OA conducts financial and performance audits of the Social Security Administration's (SSA) programs and operations and makes recommendations to ensure program objectives are achieved effectively and efficiently. Financial audits assess whether SSA's financial statements fairly present SSA's financial position, results of operations, and cash flow. Performance audits review the economy, efficiency, and effectiveness of SSA's programs and operations. OA also conducts short-term management reviews and program evaluations on issues of concern to SSA, Congress, and the general public.

Office of Investigations

OI conducts investigations related to fraud, waste, abuse, and mismanagement in SSA programs and operations. This includes wrongdoing by applicants, beneficiaries, contractors, third parties, or SSA employees performing their official duties. This office serves as liaison to the Department of Justice on all matters relating to the investigation of SSA programs and personnel. OI also conducts joint investigations with other Federal, State, and local law enforcement agencies.

Office of the Counsel to the Inspector General

OCIG provides independent legal advice and counsel to the IG on various matters, including statutes, regulations, legislation, and policy directives. OCIG also advises the IG on investigative procedures and techniques, as well as on legal implications and conclusions to be drawn from audit and investigative material. Also, OCIG administers the Civil Monetary Penalty program.

Office of External Relations

OER manages OIG's external and public affairs programs, and serves as the principal advisor on news releases and in providing information to the various news reporting services. OER develops OIG's media and public information policies, directs OIG's external and public affairs programs, and serves as the primary contact for those seeking information about OIG. OER prepares OIG publications, speeches, and presentations to internal and external organizations, and responds to Congressional correspondence.

Office of Technology and Resource Management

OTRM supports OIG by providing information management and systems security. OTRM also coordinates OIG's budget, procurement, telecommunications, facilities, and human resources. In addition, OTRM is the focal point for OIG's strategic planning function, and the development and monitoring of performance measures. In addition, OTRM receives and assigns for action allegations of criminal and administrative violations of Social Security laws, identifies fugitives receiving benefit payments from SSA, and provides technological assistance to investigations.